



\$~43

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CS(COMM) 159/2024 with I.A. 4196/2024, I.A. 33509/2024

F- HOFFMANN -LA ROCHE AG & ANR.Plaintiffs

Through: Mr Sandeep Sethi, Sr Advocate and

Mr Arvind Nigam, Sr Advocate with Mr Shrawan Chopra, Ms Prachi Agarwal, Mr Achyut Tewari, Mr N Mahabir, Mr Agnish Aditya and Ms

Riya Kumar, Advocates.

versus

ZYDUS LIFESCIENCES LIMITED

....Defendant

Through: Mr Rajshekhar Rao, Sr. Advocate

with Mr Adarsh Ramanujan, Ms Bitika Sharma, Ms Vrinda Pathak, Ms Sandhya Kukreti, Ms S.L. Soujnaya,

Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER 05.11.2024

%

- 1. The suit along with the aforesaid applications has been listed today in view of the order passed by the Division Bench on 16th October, 2024 in FAO(OS)(COMM) 236/2024 in terms of which the impugned judgment passed by the Predecessor Bench dated 9th October, 2024 in IA 33509/2024 has been set aside and directions have been passed to consider the said application afresh.
- 2. Mr Rajshekhar Rao, senior advocate appearing on behalf of the defendants submits that the defendant has filed an SLP against the aforesaid order of the Division Bench which is likely to be listed shortly. Accordingly,

CS(COMM) 159/2024 Page 1 of 2





it is submitted that the hearing in the present applications be deferred for some time.

- 3. In view of the fact that an SLP has been filed on behalf of the defendant, I deem it appropriate to defer the hearing in these applications.
- 4. List on 2nd December, 2024.
- 5. In the interregnum, the interim order dated 9th July, 2024 shall continue.

I.A. 36101/2024 (filed by plaintiffs under Order XXXIX Rule 2A CPC)

- 6. Issue Notice.
- 7. Notice is accepted by the counsel appearing on behalf of the defendant.
- 8. Reply be filed within two (2) weeks.
- 9. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed before the next date of hearing.
- 10. List on 2nd December, 2024.

AMIT BANSAL, J

NOVEMBER 5, 2024

 $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{I}$

CS(COMM) 159/2024 Page 2 of 2